

Citation Integrity Reviewer: Citation and Claim-Support Review

Written handout for professor and participant feedback / 教授與參與者書面回饋表

Purpose / 目的

Use this form after testing Citation Integrity Reviewer. The tool asks users to upload or paste a manuscript, inspect citation markers and references, verify reference identity, check whether cited papers support manuscript claims, and export a readable audit report.

Name / 姓名	_____
Email / 電子郵件	_____
Manuscript type / 稿件類型	_____

Tool Flow Checklist / 工具流程確認

- ☐ The tool extracted citation markers and reference entries correctly enough for review. / 工具擷取引用標記與參考文獻足以進行檢查。
- ☐ The tool made it clear when reference identity was uncertain. / 工具清楚標示文獻身分不確定的情況。
- ☐ The claim-support review was conservative and did not overstate what a cited paper supports. / 論述支持檢查保守，未誇大文獻可支持的內容。
- ☐ Warnings for weak, mismatched, or unsupported citations were understandable. / 弱引用、錯配或不支持引用的警示容易理解。
- ☐ The export/report would help revise a manuscript before submission or internal review. / 匯出或報告有助於投稿前或內部審查前修稿。

Feedback Questions / 回饋問題

Please mark one box for each item. 1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree. / 每題請勾選一格；1 = 非常不同意，5 = 非常同意。

1. The tool helped identify citation integrity problems that a normal AI chatbot might miss. / 工具有助於找出一般 AI 聊天機器人可能漏掉的引用誠信問題。					
Response	1	2	3	4	5
作答	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

2. The tool reduced the risk of fabricated, mismatched, or unsupported references in academic writing. / 工具降低學術寫作中捏造、錯配或不支持引用的風險。					
Response	1	2	3	4	5
作答	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

3. The claim-support review was useful for judging whether the cited paper truly supports the sentence. / 論述支持檢查有助於判斷引用文獻是否真的支持該句內容。					
Response	1	2	3	4	5

作答	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
----	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

4. The tool communicated uncertainty clearly and did not give a false sense of certainty. / 工具清楚溝通不確定性，沒有造成錯誤的確定感。					
Response	1	2	3	4	5
作答	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

5. I would use this tool for manuscripts, theses, reports, grant proposals, or hospital academic work. / 我願意將此工具用於稿件、論文、報告、計畫書或醫院學術工作。					
Response	1	2	3	4	5
作答	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Written Comments / 書面意見

Which citation risk did the tool identify most clearly? / 工具最清楚指出哪一類引用風險？

Which output should be more detailed, shorter, or easier to understand? /
哪些輸出需要更詳細、更精簡或更容易理解？

How could this tool support a pilot study in academic or hospital environments? /
此工具如何支援學術或醫院環境中的試行研究？